Name: Date: Score:

	33%	67%	100%	
Rubric: University Writing Rubric	Unsatisfactory Grade Range: F to D	Satisfactory Grade Range: C to Low B	Superior Grade Range: B to A	
Audience and Purpose Quality of research Coriginality of thought Copposing Audience and Purpose Quality of research Coriginality of thought Copposing Audience and Purpose Copposing Copposing Newpoints or alternative Copposing Copposing Newpoints Opposing Newpoin	5.45pts Fails to demonstrate the ability to communicate original thought and/or present research. Displays severe difficulty in understanding the concept of audience. Lacks logic, depth, and complexity of argument. Fails to reflect opposing viewpoints or alternative hypotheses.	11.05pts Demonstrates basic ability to communicate original thought and/or present research. Demonstrates some skills of writing for a particular audience, yet may struggle with addressing the needs of the intended audience. Illentifies opposing viewpoints or alternative hypotheses, but does not fully integrate them.	16.50pts Demonstrates very good to exceptional ability to communicate original thought and/or present quality research, and shows synthesis of evidence in a way that is complex and free of logical fallacies.	
16.70pts Drganization Clarity and logic of thesis statement Fffectiveness of organizational strategy Lacks logic in organization and transitioning of ideas Focus, Unity and Coherence 5.51pts Lacks or has a weak thesis statement. Lacks a clear organizational strategy. Lacks logic in organization and transitioning of ideas.		11.19pts Has a clear and logical thesis statement. His Is logically organized yet displays some weaknesses in transitioning of ideas, focus, and/or unity and coherence.	16.70pts Provides a strong and developed thesis statement. Is logically organized, focused, coherent, and unified.	
Development Synthesis of sources and ideas Author's voice (balance of own ideas and source material) Paragraph unity/focus and coherence	5.51pts Includes very few or no supporting details and examples. Fails to incorporate and/or synthesize sources Displays weakness of author's voice Shows significant weaknesses in paragraph unity/focus and coherence.	11.19pts Includes very few or no supporting details and examples. Fails to incorporate and/or synthesize sources Displays weakness of author's voice Shows significant weaknesses in paragraph unity/focus and coherence. Uses plenty of supporting details and examples. Includes analysis that captivates the audience Reveals an original voi written in focused paragraph unity/focus and coherence.		
16.70pts Clarity, Variety, Style Fifectiveness of Tone (attitude toward subject and audience) (audience) (Sentence length and structure (Fig. Transitions and metadiscourse) 16.70pts Has an inconsistent and/or inappropriate tone for the subject and audience. (Subject and audience. (Sentence length and structure)		11.19pts 11.19p	16.70pts Has a strong, consistent, and appropriate tone for the subject. Uses varied, sophisticated, and appropriate vocabulary. Uses sentences in length and structure. Provides effective transitions.	

1 of 2 5/29/2020, 8:46 AM

Name: Date: Score:

Rubric: University Writing Rubric	33% Unsatisfactory Grade Range: F to D	67% Satisfactory Grade Range: C to Low B	100% Superior Grade Range: B to A
16.70pts Grammar and Mechanics Punctuation ℜ Spelling	5.51pts Displays serious deficiencies in grammar and punctuation. Contains many spelling errors.	11.19pts Displays few errors in grammar and punctuation. Contains some spelling errors.	16.70pts Contains rare or no errors in grammar, punctuation, or spelling.
Documentation Appropriate citations to support thesis (e.g., MLA, APA, CBE, Chicago)	5.51pts Displays significant inconsistencies in format. Does not cite references or cites references incorrectly or incompletely.	11.19pts Contains few errors in format. Contains few or no citation errors.	16.70pts Provides accurate and complete documentation according to specified style format.

General Com	ments:		

© 2020 Campus Labs

2 of 2